Appendix A

Sumner Library

Conditional Use Permit CUP-2024-0007

November 20, 2024 Hearing Transcript

Note: This is a computer-generated transcript provided for informational purposes only. The reader should not take this document as 100% accurate or take offense at errors created by the limitations of the programming in transcribing speech. For those in need of an accurate rendition of the hearing testimony, a hearing recording can be acquired at the City of Sumner Department of Development Services.

Speaker 1 (<u>00:05</u>):

Hello, my name is Emily Terrell. I'm your hearing examiner Today it is Wednesday, November 20th, 2024 at 10:00 AM here in the Sumner Council chambers. We are going to have a hearing today on CP 2 0 2 4 0 7 and DA 2 0 2 4 dash zero one. That is the relocation of the Sumner Library from its current location on Traffic Avenue over to East Main Street. The order of operations and this is a hybrid hearing so this is why staff is going to describe how folks who are zooming in if they are can have comments.

(01:10):

But the order of operations is that I will first enter exhibits into the record and then the staff will give a presentation and then the applicant may give a presentation and then members of the public, we have a couple of members of the public here. I cannot see if they're online. I can't see that far away, but I know that some folks would like to speak. So I will enter the exhibits and then I want to give staff an opportunity to describe how members may participate and then begin your presentation. So let me begin by, I will enter exhibits A through L on page 33 of the staff report and also I received today a director's interpretation for the access that will be Exhibit M. I also received a public comment received yesterday from, it looks like John Dunn and that will be L three. The comments are in the ls. We will reserve N for the eventual CIPA materials, the CIPA determination and or comments if they come in. Is there going to be a PowerPoint that we should add?

Speaker 2 (<u>02:17</u>):

We'll not have a PowerPoint. I'll be sharing the exhibits.

Speaker 1 (<u>02:20</u>):

Okay, that's just fine then in that case, if anything comes up during the hearing we can add it then. And let me begin with, everyone will be sworn in. So please state your name and we're going to go here. First state your name and tell me do you swear or your affirm your testimony today is the truth.

Speaker 2 (02:38):

My name is Scott Waller, I'm the development services department. I'm a senior planner and I do affirm that my testimony here will be the truth.

Speaker 1 (02:44):

Thank you sir. We'll get to everybody else and swearing as we get there. But Scott, please go ahead explain how this works for everyone online and here too. That's the lecture. I dunno if I asked you to come up to speak to it. I mean that thing and then please proceed. Thank you.

Speaker 2 (02:59):

Absolutely. Thank you examiner. I'll go over a couple housekeeping items here to provide options for testimony. This is a hybrid hearing so people are welcome here at city hall and also through a Zoom link webinar. I have up on the board my email address if parties are attending via Zoom and would like to be made party of record, they want a decision copy once it's issued or anything else, they can reach out to me. That's my email address. At some point during the hearing or today, they can send me something to ask to be made a party of record and we'll keep them in the loop on any decisions going forward. We also have a sign-in sheet at city hall by the door. People may sign up for copies of the decision to make party of record or if they want to make sure that they're given a spot to testify, they can kind of let us know there as well.

(03:49):

If you are attending via Zoom, you'll have opportunity during the public testimony to comment. We'll ask that you use the raise your hand feature at such time when the examiner opens it up for that and you'll be let into the hearing to give your testimony and then go back As a observer we ask the people who are in person or online or refrain from comments until that's opened up by the examiner. This meeting is being recorded. We dove microphones in the room that pick up talking. So just be aware of that, that any side conversations might be picked up on that and are included in the recording today. That covers the public testimony piece of it. Do you want me to jump straight into Yes sir. Reporting

Speaker 1 (<u>04:31</u>):

Over sir, do we have anybody at the Zoom? Are we talking to an empty zoom? It happens occasionally.

```
Speaker 2 (04:40):
Currently.

Speaker 1 (04:42):
I'm

Speaker 2 (04:42):
Just seeing

Speaker 1 (04:43):
Us.

Speaker 2 (04:43):
Us.

Speaker 1 (04:44):
Okay, well if anybody jumps in let us know.
```

```
Speaker 2 (04:46):
Yeah, absolutely.
Speaker 1 (04:47):
Thank you.
Speaker 2 (04:49):
```

Alright, so as I mentioned, I'm Scott Waller, one of the planners with our development services department. I'll be going over the traditional use is the first item here. So I'll be giving a project overview on the proposed new library and going over the conditional use piece of that before we jump to the next here and there. So looking at kind of the vicinity, we'll look at a Google Earth image of this site here currently vacant. It's currently three parcels undeveloped. It has frontage along Main Street and has shown access off of one 53rd at the end of the project. Those lots will be consolidated into one parcel, but currently it's a series of three undeveloped lots. The zoning in this area is general commercial along Main Street. The library parcels, it's a little hard to see here, but the library parcels are primarily general commercial. That's the red dashed.

(05:40):

The larger parcel does have a little bit of LDR zoning in the back end of it. Library is a public facility, which is a conditionally allowed to use in both the general commercial and LDR zones. So hence the conditional use permit for the whole project. Looking at the whole piece of it here, looking at the site plan and overlay, we have the library building shown along East Main Street. This is keeping with kind of our Sumner's design standards and East Main Street plan. We want to see buildings up towards Main Street, very walkable, reduced curb cuts, not have parking lots along the street, make for a very walkable and kind of pedestrian friendly layout, which the library has done a good job of providing a project that meets that. If you look at the surrounding commercial properties in the area, they all are like this with buildings and windows and doors up on Main Street parking behind where it's kind of screened and talked back there.

(06:37):

The library has also done a really good job with landscaping throughout the project. They have landscaping street trees along Main Street, little plaza areas, lots of landscaping in the back. As I mentioned, this section of the site right here is zoned residential and the neighboring properties around the parking area are also zoned residential. Sumner's code has a provision where in this situation where you have commercial, a budding residential, you do 10 feet of landscaping and a solid six foot fence. In this situation we asked based off comments and the library agreed to do a eight foot fence that would run the perimeter of their parking lot to just provide more screening, more light privacy, all sorts of noise impact to better help mitigate for that. So these updated plans do show that eight foot fence along the perimeter of the site here. Library included a note down here talking about that solid eight foot fence and if you zoom in you can see where it's going to run.

(07:36):

Just the perimeter outside of that landscaping of the site to better buffer the library is parking from neighboring residential properties. The building itself is a little over 17,000 square feet. Like I said, it includes access off of Main Street. It includes spaces in the back for its patrons to kind have some outdoor children's areas, quiet areas for people to benefit from and the public to benefit from as well as ample parking. They're above what would be typical of a library, so they should have more than enough parking so it's not spilling over onto neighboring sites or things like that. They also show street parking

along Main Street and a dropoff area for people who are just returning a book and want to drop and go or if there's a shuttle or something taking people there. There's some options there for quick access to the site.

(08:29):

So overall staff's really happy with the layout and the design of the project. We feel that they've done a good job of making it both a civic building and kind of designed and such, but also kind of meeting that commercial area and fitting in into our commercial zone. We did receive a couple of comments that are included in the record. We got one from the Department of Ecology during the CIPA comment their concern was about soil contamination on site, which is known to staff and the applicant. They're working on that and looking to receive a no further action decision from ecology. We also captured that in a condition of approval. We did receive some comments from neighbors around the site with primary concerns around privacy, noise, lighting and stormwater. I did talk about the fencing and the landscaping that's been updated to kind of further provide a better buffer, both noise light and just kind of the privacy spatial separation of an eight foot fence over a six foot fence.

(09:29):

So that is an included As far as stormwater goes, we do have an engineer who looks at all developments to make sure that they are abiding by sumers ecology, stormwater regulations. So that will be addressed during development permitting just like any other project would be. As far as lighting, the project did include a photometric plan that showed fairly low levels of lighting in the parking lot. We do have a condition of approval that asks that an updated one be included to show how and where that light diffuses since it currently just shows the parking lot parameters. So condition of approval looks to have a final one prior to issuance of development permit showing that that light does in fact diffuse before it reaches those residential sites to ensure that there's no lighting impact. The library does do a good job of using lower level poles.

(10:14):

15 foot poles are what we like in kind of more residential areas as opposed to the really large poles you'd see in really big parking lots. The taller the pole at more just shoots light everywhere and you can see directly into the lighting feature itself. So they've done a good job of designing the site using what they can to make sure that it provides safe levels in the parking lot. You want to be able to see, especially when it's dark at five o'clock now, but also make sure that you're not creating an impact for the neighbors there. So they've done a good job of that.

(10:44):

We also received another comment last night looking at the ability to extend the eight foot fence out along their access. That's kind of off site of the library, so it's a little harder for staff to require that since it is through an existing parking lot and existing driveway. But something from the library to consider looking into seeing how feasible that could be. Overall, like I mentioned, staff's really happy with the design layout. It feels it fits into the commercial area. It buffers well from the residential area. They provide a good frontage and have looked at ways to impact or mitigate impacts. Noise was another item that was brought up. The library doesn't propose any sort of generator or chillers or things that would have allowed noise impact. So any sort of mechanical equipment, HVAC things that are common to buildings. When that comes in for a permit, there's a condition requiring it to show compliance with sumner's decal levels.

(11:48):

SNC eight 14 has those requirements for, in this case a commercial is the generating to a residential is the receiving to show that those equipment don't exceed the decimal volumes provided. Another item is just vehicle traffic. A library will bring in more vehicles than there's currently two commercial businesses at the corner of main 153rd. The library does have a larger parking lot, so we'll bring in more people. This is a kind of small parking lot though so that people are driving slower. Don't anticipate people driving fast is not a long stretch of driveway or parking area and some their speed limits are 25 on volt Main Street and one 53rd to keep people driving slow and have a traffic calming effect so that cars are not being as zippy and creating as much noise there.

(12:43):

Looking at the criteria to address additional use permit, there are five items that projects that need to show overall staff feels that with those conditions they've done that. They think that using their design elements, their studies, their architects kind of project layout, they've done a good job of mitigating credential impacts, making the project fit in well kind of both on Main street and up against the residential area. There's good landscaping of very mature plants at planting along the perimeter and especially in this southwest corner here, there's very heavy landscaping. A lot of these plants are very mature shown in the plants at planting time. So you'll have six feet plus sometimes in some instances of plants at planting. That really helps with creating some buffering from day one as opposed to waiting years down the road. So that with the foot fence does a good job of creating that buffer there.

(13:42):

Another one, like I mentioned, is the attentiveness to the site layout. Looking to put the building bur where the sumner's code and design guidelines look for it. Providing plenty of parking to reduce parking spill onto other sites or the street frontage improvements and then just nice frontage along Main Street there. The traffic study showed most of the people here are going to be coming from Main Street and accessing the site. It did not show much in the way of impacts to one 53rd. Very little traffic is anticipated to be coming from one 53rd or going down one 53rd to the library and those would likely be people who live down there anyways who are coming out to Main Street are coming to the library. As I mentioned, the lighting is down shielded it's low levels and we do a condition asking for an updated photometric plan to ensure that that's satisfactory and not spilling over into neighboring sites. We also have a condition of approval looking at the environmental piece of it to satisfy college requirements for soil contamination and getting that no further action termination. And then we also talked with the Sumers Design Commission about just the site layout as a whole and the building design being a public facility, it wouldn't require standard design commission process, but the applicant wanted to kind get our commission's take on that and they were overall liked the design, liked the layout, had a couple of comments but we're overall pretty happy with it. As far as conditional use piece goes, staff recommends conditional as approval or as approved as conditioned in the staff report. But I'd be happy to answer questions or off clarifications.

```
Speaker 1 (<u>15:20</u>):
I do have questions.

Speaker 2 (<u>15:20</u>):
Thank you. Yes,

Speaker 1 (<u>15:22</u>):
First, so site plan approval is not consolidated with this decision?
```

Speaker 2 (<u>15:29</u>):

Correct. So the site plan site development permit would be post conditional use permit and post development agreement that would come under as an SIT or site development permit and that would be reviewed for all the details, civil engineering, storm water, utility hookups and that sort of thing. This is more of a conceptual, okay,

Speaker 1 (<u>15:51</u>):

That's important. Obviously the big question here is what happens if there's no way to take access off of one 53rd instead it's off of East Main. That obviously changes everything.

Speaker 2 (16:04):

So that was looked at in the design commission decision there. The director's decision, this is what East Sumner's plan asks for, is access there. It shows up on the zoning map and the East Main Street design strategy. Hard to see if these dashed lines and the plan look to have accesses into sites off of side streets or off of what we call cross access corridors. And so the previous development had built out and kind of labeled and called that out as a future connection point per those city requirements. So that is the plan. Sumner's legal team is working with them on getting that easement finalized, but in the event that couldn't happen, you would look at a driveway approach on Main Street here as a contingency, but this is the plan to keep it off of one 53rd.

Speaker 1 (<u>16:53</u>):

Okay. It keeps it off of one. I could use a bigger map actually. That kind of gives me a sense. I never did in the staff packet get that overall sense despite living in this town and being at the Emco bank yesterday. It was right there, but it was trying to figure out where, who exactly is the easement coming from and it on one 50 is it, does that access one 53rd?

Speaker 2 (17:23):

Correct.

Speaker 1 (<u>17:23</u>):

It says Access one 53rd. Explain to me how you don't think library traffic's going to impact one 53rd since all traffic would come there.

Speaker 2 (17:32):

Yep. Great clarification. So here's an overview of the area. This is the existing, existing parking lot existing access. There's a stub out here. These plans labeled us as a city access point. Good clarification on the traffic piece that was looking at south of the access. So it looked at people coming along Main Street coming in here, but it had very little,

Speaker 1 (17:54):

Is one 50 there at a cul-de-sac there or does it go all the

Speaker 2 (17:56):

Way? It goes through? It connects to multiple areas and through, but yeah, the traffic study looked at south of the access point, very little traffic coming and going with or without the site being developed.

Speaker 1 (<u>18:10</u>):

It seems like if you're from a southern neighborhood you might come through one to third.

Speaker 2 (<u>18:14</u>):

You could, yeah. And it looked at,

Speaker 1 (18:16):

I avoid,

Speaker 2 (18:18):

Yeah, it looked at a peak demand. A P peak demand of pretty low numbers in that report

Speaker 1 (<u>18:25</u>):

Is the library's use highest at pm peak? I would think that would be a different time of day.

Speaker 2 (<u>18:35</u>):

It could be. I mean you have people coming and going and dropping off books. Libraries have let, can speak to when they think that, but it's open kind of similar to a commercial business, but P and peak is typically what we look at because that's when there's the most traffic on the road in addition to that use.

Speaker 1 (18:57):

Okay, so when that easement comes, will there be a clause in that easement at all That will allow for some screening of the residential neighborhood that is to the south of the entrance. That's a lot of cars that potentially can use a library. I know there's not a huge amount of traffic at the current library, but it doesn't mean that there won't be, and especially since Sumner is growing and growing so rapidly in that negotiation process for the easement, is there any kind of clause for shielding the adjacent residential neighborhood?

Speaker 2 (19:33):

That's something that can be looked at in that there is existing landscaping on that site. An existing six foot fence that runs along here. This was built out, I think it was 2015. And so there's existing landscaping. I think I measured it on the plans and it showed about 10 feet and a fence there. So there is some existing, but we did pass on that comment and talk to the library about interest and ability to provide anything more there from what's currently there, which is landscaping and offense.

Speaker 1 (20:06):

And for the edification of those listening, we are allowed to require improvements to the area that is being dealt with. The actual parcel things that go offsite are much more legally tenuous. So when you're looking at an easement, sometimes it's an easement that you can negotiate other things into. Sometimes that fails. It is heartening to know that there's a fence and some landscaping there now. But I

would encourage in the easement process for that discussion to come up because impacts on the neighborhoods are significant when we're talking about a lot of traffic in a commercial sense, many hours of the day and night libraries are open, early and late and often have community event features with them as well. I doubt anybody's going to fly in, drop in base in their car, but you don't know, so, okay. Excuse me. No sir. Not yet. Drop. It's not yet. Sorry. I explained the order of operations earlier, staff, applicant, and the public, and then we can have some back and forth if we need to. And at the end the applicant gets the last word because the burden of proof that they have met the code is on the applicant. My final question is that seemed like two a spaces and a lot of parking.

(21:28):

Does your code do a DA based on the number of parking spaces or the use of the building itself?

Speaker 2 (21:35):

That's something our building official looks at during the building permitting section. They didn't have any comments in the conditional use permit or the C. It seems

Speaker 1 (21:42):

Like a library is a higher use for folks that might need a spaces. Okay. Alright. That is the list of questions that I have for staff. Any member of the applicant's team or all members of the applicant's team are certainly welcome to speak and you may speak now. All I'm asking is that each of you who does tell us your name, spell it for the record. We do these transcripts via Al and it's creative, real creative. It never gets my name right and then I'll swear you in. How about any of the applicant team who wishes to testify today? Why don't we just swear you in en mass? Raise your hand. Anybody want to say anything? You don't have to. Alright. Just because you're sworn in doesn't mean you have to speak. We'll assume your silence is honest too. Do you swear or affirm that your testimony today is the truth?

Speaker 3 (22:39):
Yes. Yes.
Speaker 1 (22:40):
Yes. Okay. Go right ahead.

Speaker 3 (22:44):

Well, good morning. My name is Christina Neville Neal. Christina, C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-A. Neville Neal, N-E-V-I-L-L-E dash NEIL. I am the facilities project manager with the library. I just want to come here and say that this project has been a long time coming and we are excited to be partnering with the City of Sumner for this project and we are lucky to be partnered up with Johnston Architects and a architecture for everyone who are a design team. I feel that we have a design that will incorporate the historical and a cultural feel of Sumner and we'll be able to meet the future needs of the community. So we're excited to be a partner with the city. We're here to answer any questions that you may have.

Speaker 1 (23:41):
Are you party to that easement negotiation?
Speaker 3 (23:44):

We are working with the

Speaker 1 (23:46):

```
City attorneys on that. Again, I would ask that you pay attention to the impacts of the neighboring
residential in terms of noise, traffic impacts, visual impacts. We know that we can only require certain
things to happen on the site itself, but in the good neighbor sense, sometimes an extra thousand dollars
goes a long, long one. Okay. Now we can open it up to public comment. And let's begin with, has
anybody zoomed in?
Speaker 4 (24:19):
Let me check.
Speaker 1 (24:20):
Okay. They'll go last, but I just want to know if they're here and we'll not do comments from the peanut
gallery. We do comments here.
Speaker 2 (24:30):
We do not have anyone outside of staff attending via zoom.
Speaker 1 (24:34):
Okay. Mr. Dunn, if you would like to speak, please come up to the podium. We can't record you from
the back. This is a public thing, so I'm not
Speaker 5 (24:51):
John Dun. I'm his next door neighbor.
Speaker 1 (24:53):
Okay,
Speaker 5 (24:55):
So I wanted to say something on this. He does live along easement.
Speaker 1 (25:00):
Okay. Let's begin with what is your name and how do you spell it sir?
Speaker 5 (25:02):
Charles Boker. B-O-W-K-E-R.
```

Do you swear and affirm, sir that your testimony today is the truth? Thank you. Go ahead.

Speaker 1 (25:07):

Speaker 5 (25:12):

So I had a meeting with Scott in October. I was the one that originally requested the eight foot solid fence and the issues about the lighting storm. So I didn't realize John had sent anything in, but it just sounds like he wants a quick fence to basically go along the easement area. So I just wanted to put in my 2 cents that I think that sounds like a really good idea. It'll help protect the noise, everything along that side of his

Speaker 1 (25:52):

Property. Okay. Anything else? So Mr. Dunn's letter talked about the easement itself, the noise and privacy, so the need for fence. It is exactly what you're,

Speaker 5 (<u>26:05</u>):

I asked Scott for the rest of the parking lot and I got the okay from all six neighbors before the parking.

Speaker 1 (<u>26:14</u>):

Thank you for doing our work for us. Appreciate that.

Speaker 5 (<u>26:18</u>):

I just wanted to make sure there was a fence to start.

Speaker 1 (26:23):

It's a landscape screen of whatever type you require.

Speaker 2 (26:28):

Yeah. Yep. And it's very solid. Like I said, it's very mature plants at time of planting. Very thick, predominantly evergreen, solid and then solid a footprints. Okay.

Speaker 5 (<u>26:42</u>):

Something else I kind of concerned about, I don't know if this is the place to ask about it, but I was wondering about the height of the parking lot itself. Right now it's at the same level as all of our residences and I know you can't put asphalt right on top of dirt, so it's going to have to be built up. I'm curious as to whether dirt will be taken out prior to all the rocks and asphalt. I'd like it to stay no higher than residence areas. So if water doesn't drain off into our properties,

Speaker 1 (27:17):

I'm seeing some vigorous head nodding. Would you like to respond? Oh, say your name.

Speaker 6 (27:23):

Oh, sorry. My name is Jack Chafin. J-A-C-K-C-H-A-F-F-I-N. Johnson Architects. I can speak to that, but I'm not the civil engineer, but I can speak to it sort of intelligently. All of the site drainage. If you zoom out Scott just a little bit. All of the site drainage is aimed towards the east part of the site where we have water quality and retention area is. So we're sloping away from the residences and towards East Main and it will all be collected sort of over by where the trash enclosure is at the top of the page and it'll be held in our water retention that's right behind that. And it's an open air, it's like a nice kind of soil type of retention area. We're also doing a little bit of, this is less about your concern, but more about the

storm water, the water that falls on the building. We're trying to where we can demonstrate how we're treating the water on site on the northern part of the building where you see that landscape buffer between the sidewalk and the building. We're going to have a water quality feature there that daylights what's happening with the water that falls on the building. And then as part of the council's recommendations, we're just now looking into an area in the children's area where we may also do a little bit of demonstration for small water management that's also kind of fun and educational.

Speaker 1 (28:57):

Would they be ous pavement in that area?

Speaker 6 (<u>29:01</u>):

It's a good question. We're thinking about where the gutter line is over the children's plaza, which sort of is the building extended there, having some kind of feature that the water either goes into a basin or we're even thinking maybe it could make some kind of pattern or something on the ground, but something along those, it's honestly, it's in the nascent stages. We've just sort of got that comment last week. I don't even know if our landscape architect know about it yet, but something that we would like to incorporate and we'll try to think creatively about ways do that.

Speaker 1 (29:42):

Can you speak to the cut and fill and whether or not that parking lot is going to be where it is elevation wise with relationship to these existing buildings?

Speaker 6 (29:51):

So we're having to sort of warp the parking lot a little bit towards that corner over there, but as far as currently we don't have any retaining walls. There's nothing that's going to be raising the site so that it's higher than the neighboring yards. Right now it's really just the sloping of the parking lot. So cutting a filling to get the slop of the parking lot to hit that northeastern corner of the site.

Speaker 1 (30:19):

So highest in this far corner and

Speaker 6 (30:22):

Lowest. And right now where the library is itself is actually sunken a little bit. So we're having to raise the library up a little and push the water to, that's why it's going to that part of the site because we don't want to have water going from East Mains sort into the front door of the library either.

Speaker 1 (<u>30:42</u>):

Hard to argue with that.

Speaker 7 (30:43):

Yeah. My name is Ko Bobo. K-O-W-I-B-O-W-O. I would like to add to check, and if you look at the parking lot, there's two lines there kind of between the parking stalls. I think the intention that is actually more like a trench where water is actually flowing into that and then go to the bio retention there on the west side, on the east side of the building.

```
Speaker 1 (31:13):
```

Yeah. Does that answer your question, sir?

Speaker 5 (31:19):

Well, I'm a little concerned also about the fact that if you drive by, I walk by the library, the existing library right now every day and nobody maintains that parking lot. The leaves are there all the time. Nobody maintains it. So I'm concerned with all this landscape area that leaves and things could plug up the drains in the parking lot. I really hope you do a better job maintaining the parking lot and the landscape than you do. It's under. You should just drive over there and drive through there on your way out and you'll see exactly what I'm talking about.

Speaker 3 (32:05):

I can address that. Do you need me to, do you need me to re No. Okay. Reintroduce. We do have a landscape contractor that does maintain all our properties. I believe this property at the existing lot belongs to the city, so we don't have what

```
Speaker 5 (<u>32:31</u>):
```

I expected.

Speaker 3 (<u>32:32</u>):

But in our future site we will have a landscape contract that and that service all our branches.

Speaker 1 (32:42):

It's a concern of mine as well as my husband is often the one who mows along the street right away is here because no one does to see a tall bald guy with a blower. He's done it several times. Nice landscaping strips, completely unmaintained. Okay. Anything else? That's it. Okay. Would any other member of the public wish to speak? If so, please come on up. Come on up. We need to do it from here so we get it on the recording.

Speaker 4 (33:21):

Introduce, yes please. My name is Sahil, S-A-H-I-L. He responds great to be here, member of this community for over 16 years. And with my little brother going to middle school, it's great to see a new library that's coming through development. My question is, if the redevelopment school library underway have opportunities for sponsorships or partnership prospects for local businesses opened up? And if so, what are

Speaker 3 (33:56):

Well, we are talking, we do have a community room that we are working with community partners for and that's in our design development right now. So we are open to partnering with the community. We can reach out and start a dialogue. Communication. There are measures and I believe we have a couple of public engagement sessions that we have provided and then we will be providing a couple more, one or two more coming up as well. Are there any set dates for the top of my head? I don't remember.

```
Speaker 6 (34:44):
```

You want me to speak so you don't

Speaker 3 (34:45):

Have to spell my name? Alright.

Speaker 6 (<u>34:49</u>):

Yeah, so we're actually going through a bit of a phase right now where we're discussing cost on the project. So it's affected our schedules that few weeks, but we're hoping to get a public engagement event in between the holidays, so before the end of the year. And then probably one a little further into when we're in what we call construction documents. So that final one is more like here's what it is, but the one that we're trying to get before that would be more participatory in the design.

Speaker 7 (<u>35:20</u>):

Okay. Information should be on the website too, right?

Speaker 6 (35:25):

Right. And there is information on the website. If you go to the PCOS Sumner Library website, there's a community engagement website link there that will have, the calendar will update once we know when that event is

Speaker 5 (<u>35:41</u>):

Updated in the next two weeks.

Speaker 6 (<u>35:43</u>):

Does that your question? Next two weeks

Speaker 1 (<u>35:47</u>):

Since you're sitting with staff, am I going to assume that you're not a member of the public who wants to make a comment? Yeah. Did you want to respond to something? No,

Speaker 8 (35:55):

I have a note that's aside from anything that's been commented on currently, I was just waiting for the staff period.

Speaker 1 (36:04):

Okay. Was there anybody that had joined us on Zoom or other than just ourselves?

Speaker 2 (<u>36:09</u>):

No, we have one member from the applicant's party from Johnston Architects in attendance probably just just observing, but wanted to pass it on. Noah,

Speaker 1 (36:20):

If that person wants to speak, raise your hand and we'll do it. So if that's it for the public testimony and it sounds like some questions have been answered, then the opportunity now is for the staff to say whatever it is they're wanting to say and then the applicant becomes final word.

Speaker 8 (36:39):

Scott just reminded me that my comment will apply to the next hearing, the development agreement hearing.

Speaker 1 (36:44):

Okay. I'll hold my comment. Thank you. That's fine with me.

Speaker 2 (36:48):

And then I guess the only other staff comment is just that the conditional use permit hearing decision is held until the development agreement moves forward. Just kind of through that. I'll

Speaker 1 (36:56):

Doing that. Yeah.

(36:58):

Okay. Anything you want to add before we move on to the development agreement portion of today's hearing? No. Okay. In that case, I will close the testimony portion of this hearing today, but I will for the CUP keep the record open until the close of the CPA comment. The environmental review has not been completed yet. It is required by law to either have a comment or state that it's a determination non-significant with no comment. So it is the public's opportunity to make comments on anything else. And also it goes out to all the agencies, which is a huge group. It's like 30 agencies and tribes and everybody under the sun. You never know when you're going to get another comment. So in that case, I'll leave that open and I will essentially run the same sort of hearing. So same order of operations. There is no exhibit list for the second one. Is there, Scott?

Speaker 2 (<u>38:02</u>):

It was just the staff report and then the development agreement. Has exhibits kind of baked into that document itself?