

**COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**
(Please attach additional pages if needed.)

A. DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN AMENDMENT BEING REQUESTED:

Sumner-Bonney Lake School District (School District) 2024-2030 Capital Facilities Plan was adopted by the Board in November 2024; Proposal update the 2024 Comprehensive Plan on page 15 to reference the latest adopted SBLSD Capital Facilities Plan; and City of Sumner's Capital Facilities Plan needs to be amended on pages 42, 43, 64, 66, 84, and 95 which reference the School District's 2021-2027 CFP.

B. why is the amendment being proposed? Provide specific areas of the comprehensive Plan needing change.

Adoption of the School District CFP was not yet completed early on in the 2024 Comprehensive Plan update.

C. HOW DOES THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT MEET THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA?

[Only those amendments which are found to be in substantial compliance with all criteria shall be approved (SMC 18.56.147(N))]

- 1. Is the amendment necessary to resolve inconsistencies between the Sumner Comprehensive Plan and other City plans or ordinances; or, to resolve inconsistencies between the Sumner comprehensive plan and other jurisdictions' plans or ordinances?**

Yes, the amendment is necessary to resolve inconsistencies between the Capital Facilities Plan

- 2. Have conditions so changed since the adoption of the Sumner Comprehensive Plan that the existing goals, policies, objectives, and/or map classifications are inappropriate?**

Yes, the 22021 SBLSD CFP has been updated to reflect the 2024-2030 horizon, consistent with Pierce County

- 3. Is the proposed amendment consistent with the overall intent of the goals of the Sumner Comprehensive Plan?**

Yes, the amendment is consistent with the overall intent and goals of the Sumner Comprehensive Plan.

- 4. Is the proposed amendment consistent with chapter 36.70A RCW (Growth Management Act), the County-wide Planning Policies for Pierce County, and the applicable Multi-county planning policies (VISION 2050).**

Yes the proposed amendment is consistent with the GMA County-wide Planning Policies for Pierce County and vision 2050.

- 5. If an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan Map is proposed, is the proposed designation adjacent to property having a similar and compatible designation? Is the subject property of sufficient size? Are other conditions present?**

No amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map are proposed.

- 6. Have environmental impacts been disclosed, and measures been included to reduce possible adverse impacts?**

A SEPA checklist has been completed to disclose any perceived environmental impacts and will be evaluated.

- 7. Have potential ramifications of the proposed amendment to other Comprehensive Plan elements and supporting plans been considered and satisfactorily addressed?**

Yes
